

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-4 and 6-17 remain in this application.

Claim 5 has been canceled.

Claim 11 has been amended to correctly depend from claim 1. Claim 1 had been previously amended to include the limitations of claim 5 therein.

In response to the **Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment of July 29, 2008**, Applicant requests re-examination and reconsideration of this application for patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 132.

Objection to the Claims

Claim 11 stands objected to by the Examiner in that its status is unclear. The Examiner states that the status identifier of claim 11 and the remarks of the response filed April 11, 2008 indicates that claim 11 is pending. However, claim 11 currently depends from claim 5, which was cancelled. The Examiner has stated that it is unclear whether claim 11 was intended to be cancelled or was inadvertently not amended to depend from a cancelled claim.


There is nothing in the record in this application that indicates that claim 11 should be cancelled. Claim 11 was inadvertently not amended to depend from claim 1. Claim 11 has now been properly amended to correctly depend from claim 1, as was indicated in the response filed April 11, 2008.

SUMMARY

In light of the foregoing remarks and amendment to the claims, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner will now find the claims of the application allowable. Favorable reconsideration of the application is courteously requested. Should there be any remaining issues which can be resolved via an Examiner's Amendment; the Examiner is urged to call the undersigned in order to expedite the prosecution of this application.

The Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in any fees due or credit any overpayments in any fees paid on the filing to Deposit Account No. 13-0439.

Respectfully submitted,


William A. Cuchlinski
Registration No. 57,159

McHale & Slavin, P.A.
2855 PGA Boulevard
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410
(561) 625-6575 (Voice)
(561) 625-6572 (Fax)